

IHE Research Projects Series

IHE Research in Progress Series 2019-008 Submitted to series: May 13, 2019

Bottom Line up Front: Results from a Randomized Experiment on Interpreting College Affordability

Casey E. George, University of Louisville, Gregory C. Wolniak, Institute of Higher Education, University of Georgia, <u>gwolniak@uga.edu</u>, Glen R. Nelson, Arizona State University & Jared D. Colston, University of Louisville

Find this presentation and other faculty works at: <u>https://ihe.uga.edu/rps</u>

George, C., Wolniak, G.C., Nelson, G.R., & Colston, J.D. (2019) Bottom Line up Front: Results from a Randomized Experiment on Interpreting College Affordability. *IHE Research Projects Series*, 2019-008. Retrieved from: <u>https://ihe.uga.edu/rps/2019_008</u>

BOTTOM LINE UP FRONT: RESULTS FROM A RANDOMIZED EXPERIMENT ON INTERPRETING COLLEGE AFFORDABILITY

> Casey E. George, Ph.D. - University of Louisville Gregory C. Wolniak, Ph.D. - New York University Glen R. Nelson, Ph.D. - Arizona State University Jared D. Colston - University of Louisville

Differential Tuition (DT) - Background

- DT policies are the purposeful variation in undergraduate tuition by major area of study and year of enrollment (Nelson, 2008)
- DT rates can be assessed by:
 - Program of study
 - Class standing
 - Credit hours
 - Semester
 - Academic year
- DT rates may aid universities in replacing lost state funding, offset costs of delivering higher-cost programs, charge a premium for potentially higher future earnings

Prior Research



Research Questions

- Lack understanding of how DT may impact college choice process including understandings of potential costs of college
- How might DT policies perpetuate inequities in postsecondary education?
 - Q1: Does the complexity of how institutions display tuition information affect how individuals comprehend the information?
 - Q2: Does an educational infographic on DT impact how individuals comprehend the displayed tuition information?
 - Q3: Do higher levels of education moderate how accurately individuals comprehend tuition information?

Methods

- Randomized experiment administered online through Amazon's Mechanical Turk and Qualtrics
 - Participants were randomly assigned to treatment or control groups
 - Treatment group received infographic describing DT prior to taking survey
- Survey Design
 - A brief description of DT
 - Three tuition tables, each with three questions asking for interpretation of information displayed... some requiring calculations
 - Background information such as highest level of education
 - Survey included a catch item to remove "mischievous responders"

The Infographic "Treatment"



Net Price Calculator Center

Tuition Tables: Example 1

EXAMPLE 1 ESTIMATED COSTS OF ATTENDANCE WHILE LIVING ON-CAMPUS, PER YEAR, 2016-17

	Resident	Nonresident				
	(In-State)	(Out-of-State)				
Billed Expenses						
Tuition & Fees*	\$7,650.00	\$25,353.00				
Housing and Meals	\$10,155.00	\$10,155.00				
Total Billed Expenses	\$17,805.00	\$35,508.00				
Other Estimated Expenses						
Books and Supplies	\$900.00	\$900.00				
Personal Expenses	\$1,654.00	\$1,654.00				
Transportation	\$535.00	\$535.00				
Total Estimated Expenses	\$3,089.00	\$3,089.00				

Tuition Tables: Examples 2 & 3

EXAMPLE 2

ESTIMATED COSTS OF ATTENDANCE WHILE LIVING ON-CAMPUS, PER YEAR, 2016-17

	Resident (In-State)	Nonresident (Out-of-State)				
Billed Expenses						
Tuition & Fees*	\$7,650.00	\$25,353.00				
Housing and Meals	\$10,155.00	\$10,155.00				
Total Billed Expenses	\$17,805.00	\$35,508.00				
Other Estimated Expenses						
Books and Supplies	\$900.00	\$900.00				
Personal Expenses	\$1,654.00	\$1,654.00				
Transportation	\$535.00	\$535.00				
Total Estimated Expenses	\$3,089.00	\$3,089.00				

*Tuition & Fees vary for students in these areas of study:

- **Business** students will pay \$8,453.00 for residents (\$26,250.00 for nonresidents); third and fourth year business students will pay an additional \$1,250.00 in tuition.
- Education students will pay \$8,400.00 for residents (\$26,050.00 for nonresidents).
- Nursing students will pay \$7,932.00 for residents (\$25,986.00 for nonresidents); second year nursing students will pay \$8,125.00 for residents (\$26,254.00 for nonresidents); third and fourth year nursing students will pay \$8,643.00 for residents (\$26,756.00 for nonresidents).
- Engineering students will pay \$11,436.00 for residents (\$29,247.00 for nonresidents).
- **Biology** undergraduates will pay an additional \$530.00 per semester for lab fees.

EXAMPLE 3 ESTIMATED COSTS OF ATTENDANCE WHILE LIVING ON-CAMPUS, PER YEAR, 2016-17

Notes:

- Education students attending class at the off-campus site will pay an additional fee of \$250.00 per semester.
- An additional fee for **Equine Studies** students is disclosed on the registrar's website.
- English Literature students enrolled after Fall 2015 will pay an additional \$50.00 supplies fee per academic year.

Participants (n=796)

	Ν	%
Treatment	386	48.5
Control	410	51.5
Some High School, High School Diploma or GED	42	5.4
Some College	157	19.8
Associate's Degree	99	12.5
Bachelor's Degree	360	45.5
Master's Degree	110	13.9
Doctoral or Professional Degree	23	2.9
First Generation Student	382	48.0
Non-First Generation Student	414	52.0

Analytical Approach

- Q1: Effects of Complexity
 - Descriptive Statistics and Regression Intercepts
- Q2: Impact of Treatment & Q3: Perpetuation of Inequities
 - Binary Logistic Regression
 - Ordinary Least Squares Regression

Outcomes

- Correctly answering all questions per tuition table (Y/N)
- Total number of correct answers in interpreting tuition tables

Findings: Q1) Effects of Complexity



Odds Ratios of Intercepts:

- Least Complex (EX1): 3.234
- Moderately Complex (EX2): 0.193
- Most Complex (EX3): 0.320
- Decreasing ORs indicates: decreasing accuracy as complexity increases

Findings: Q2) Impact of Treatment

- Effect of treatment was not statistically significant on
 - Odds of answering all questions correctly in *Least, Moderate, and* Most Complex Examples
 - Total number of correct responses
- Unsure how treatment was utilized by respondents
- Other infographics may be a more effective treatment

Least Complex (Ex1):

 Higher Education Levels resulted in higher odds of answering all questions correctly

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
INTERCEPT				
B(S.E.) =	1.174 (0.083)***	1.212 (0.117)***	0.808 (0.323)*	1.111 (0.357)**
$OR^{i} =$	3.234	3.362	2.243	3.038
TREATMENT				
B(S.E.) =		-0.079 (0.167)	-0.081 (0.168)	-0.022 (0.174)
OR =		0.924	0.922	0.978
SOME COLLEGE ⁱⁱ				
$\underline{B}(S.E.) =$			0.186 (0.362)	0.347 (0.376)
OR =			1.205	1.414
ASSOC DEG				
B(S.E.) =			0.576 (0.400)	0.723 (0.414)
OR =			1.779	2.060
BACH DEG				
B(S.E.) =			0.655 (0.355)	0.951 (0.372)*
OR =			1.924	2.588
MAST DEG				\geq
B(S.E.) =			0.752 (0.410)	1.221 (0.434)**
OR =			2.121	3.389
DOCT DEG				
B(S.E.) =			1.781 (0.816)*	2.097 (0.839)*
OR =			5.935	8.140
FIRST GEN				
B(S.E.) =			-0.273 (0.184)	-0.187 (0.192)
OR =			0.761	0.829
CONTROLS ⁱⁱⁱ	No	No	No	Yes

Moderately Complex (Ex2):

 Respondents with doctoral or professional degrees had greater odds of answering all questions correctly

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
INTERCEPT				
B(S.E.) =	-1.643 (0.096)***	-1.783 (0.141)***	-2.283 (0.485)***	-1.950 (0.498)***
$OR^{i} =$	0.193	0.168	0.102	0.142
TREATMENT				
B(S.E.) =		0.276 (0.193)	0.299 (0.195)	0.330 (0.198)
OR =		1.318	1.348	1.391
SOME COLLEGE ⁱⁱ				
B(S.E.) =			0.440 (0.524)	0.652 (0.533)
OR =			1.552	1.919
ASSOC DEG				
B(S.E.) =			-0.011 (0.575)	0.178 (0.582)
OR =			0.989	1.195
BACH DEG				
B(S.E.) =			0.506 (0.508)	0.798 (0.519)
OR =			1.659	2.220
MAST DEG				
B(S.E.) =			0.326 (0.559)	0.720 (0.574)
OR =			1.386	2.055
DOCT DEG				
B(S.E.) =			1.239 (0.674)	1.586 (0.691)*
OR =			3.451	4.886
FIRST GEN				
B(S.E.) =			0.147 (0.209)	0.207 (0.214)
OR =			1.158	1.230
CONTROLS	No	No	No	Yes

Most Complex (Ex3):

 Education levels were not statistically significant

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
INTERCEPT				
B(S.E.) =	-1.139 (0.083)***	-1.158 (0.116)***	-1.203 (0.353)***	-0.964 (0.370)**
$OR^{i} =$	0.320	0.314	0.300	0.381
TREATMENT				
B(S.E.) =		0.039 (0.165)	-0.252 (0.402)	0.080 (0.171)
OR =		1.039	1.039	1.083
SOME COLLEGE ⁱⁱ				
B(S.E.) =			-0.252 (0.402)	-0.950 (0.411)
OR =			0.777	0.910
ASSOC DEG				
B(S.E.) =			-0.316 (0.435)	-0.199 (0.441)
OR =			0.729	0.819
BACH DEG				
B(S.E.) =			0.226 (0.378)	0.469 (0.388)
OR =			1.253	1.599
MAST DEG				
B(S.E.) =			0.122 (0.423)	0.496 (0.438)
OR =			1.130	1.642
DOCT DEG				
B(S.E.) =			0.377 (0.583)	0.611 (0.599)
OR =			1.458	1.843
FIRST GEN				
B(S.E.) =			-0.019 (0.180)	0.041 (0.184)
OR =			0.981	1.042
CONTROLS ^{III}	No	No	No	Yes

Total Correct:

Education matters for the total number of correct responses, with having a Bachelor's or a Doctoral/Professional degree having the highest impact

and the second se				
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
INTERCEPT	5.866***	5.851***	5.128***	5.514***
	(0.072)	(0.100)	(0.299)	(0.296)
TREATMENT		0.032	0.049	0.134
		(0.143)	(0.142)	(0.135)
SOME COLLEGE			0.627	0.800*
			(0.335)	(0.318)
ASSOC DEG			0.354	0.496
			(0.357)	(0.338)
BACH DEG			0.965**	1.275***
			(0.322)	(0.307)
MAST DEG			0.586	1.092**
			(0.362)	(0.346)
DOCT DEG			1.727***	2.044***
			(0.521)	(0.494)
FIRST GEN			-0.043	0.089
			(0.154)	(0.146)
CONTROLS ⁱⁱ	No	No	No	Yes

Implications/Conclusions

- Institutions with DT policies should work toward increased transparency when communicating policies to prospective students and families
 - Less than 25% of individuals were able to accurately answer questions from the two tables featuring DT by program of study and by year.
- Individuals with less than a Bachelor's degree are much more disadvantaged when it comes to interpreting tuition information
- Social stratification may perpetuate through DT policies if those with less education are more likely to misunderstand potential costs based on incorrect interpretations

Final Recommendations

- Ensures that students have clear information to inform decisionmaking in the college choice process
- Institutions with DT policies may need to present various tuition rates in table form
- All Net Price Calculators should be required to incorporate DT information
- The timeline of exposing students to information regarding complex tuition policies needs to reflect the decision-making literature
 - Incorporate DT policy information in the FAFSA process

Contact Information

Casey E. George - <u>caseye.george@louisville.edu</u>

Gregory C. Wolniak - gwolniak@nyu.edu

Glen R. Nelson - <u>glen.r.nelson@asu.edu</u>

Jared D. Colston - jared.colston@louisville.edu