Curriculum That Reaches and Represents All Learners

This issue reflects on the ways in which our thinking about curriculum design is developing. How does a teacher, department, or school rethink curriculum to reach and represent all learners and the world(s) in which they live? What challenges and opportunities do these changes offer to curriculum designers? What resources are needed to support this shift? What are some short- and long-term effects of this type of curriculum design on the student? On the teacher? On education?
How can curriculum be reimagined to meet the needs of students whose primary interests lie outside the ELA classroom? This discussion of STEAM and its literacy components explores one such possibility.

**Achieving Diversity through Integrative Scientific Research Experience** (ADISRE), a component of a five-year (2018–2023) National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant led by principal investigator Karla-Sue Marriott, has focused on developing close reading and critical thinking skills for cohorts of seven freshmen at Savannah State University (SSU), an HBCU. Marriott, who I met through a Governor’s Teaching Fellows Program, currently serves as interim chair of the Chemistry and Forensic Science Department at SSU. I work at another local university—Georgia Southern University—in the College of Education and was invited to collaborate on this NIH grant by presenting workshops at several points throughout the year to students and monitoring their progress.

ADISRE is about “Creating a Desire” for learning and the ethical application of useful scientific information. The goal of this program, funded by the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering (NIBIB) as part of the Enhancing Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math Educational Diversity (ESTEEMED) initiative is to expand, leverage, and implement the program through achieving the following objectives: (a) expanding the number of high-achieving student participants; (b) increasing the emphasis on doctoral careers in the biomedical sciences through the nature of our training activities, including a holistic 6-week summer bridge program; (c) enhancing the ability of ADISRE scholars to gain entrance into competitive doctoral programs through rigorous and interactive training, negating the need for them to garner post baccalaureate experience; and (d) creating a broad, long-term institutional impact of integrative teaching and learning through the development of a new team-taught, core-area science laboratory course for freshmen and sophomores.

ADISRE seeks to spark a desire in students to become self-directed learners as well as open-minded, caring, and ethical biomedical scientists. The overarching goal is to produce STEM graduates with an internal drive to serve their community by pursuing a career in biomedical sciences. ADISRE will help to create a space for students and faculty to grow and enjoy learning and engaging in research, while fostering environmental awareness, personal discovery, and individual development.

**WHAT IS STEAM?**

Understanding STEAM and How Children Use It, National Center on Early Childhood Development, Teaching, and Learning

STEM v. STEAM: Do the Arts Belong? Edweek

**Perspective and Theoretical Frameworks**

There is a definite need on a broad scale to expose science students to integrative learning. There is a need for these science students to become more resourceful and to experiment with removing unnecessary thought-limiting boundaries, thereby learning how to make connections across multiple disciplines.

Cervetti and Hiebert (2019) explain that research has demonstrated that different kinds of knowledge have a positive impact on comprehension, from “knowledge of the topic of the text . . . to knowledge of the broader domain . . . to cultural knowledge and general world knowledge” (p. 499).

**HOW DOES STEAM EDUCATION BENEFIT LEARNING?**


New Skills: 4 Benefits of STEAM Education, Teach Thought

More Than a Trend: Crucial Ways in Which STEAM Shapes Student Thinking, SHARE

**STEAM LEARNING AND INQUIRY**

PBL and STEAM Education: A Natural Fit, Edutopia

The Art of Inquiry in STEAM Education, Resilient Educator
ADISRE is designed to improve students’ depth of understanding as well as their ability to communicate across disciplines, troubleshoot and solve complex problems, and think creatively. We believe that the successful implementation of ADISRE will provide learning experiences for our STEAM students that will assist them in developing the critical tools, skills, and knowledge necessary to graduate with confidence, which will enable them to create their own unique space in the world with benefits to society. Thus, we expect that the successful implementation of this program will result in increased student retention as competitive undergraduates in their rising junior year transition into our new institutional honors program followed by a graduate degree program and a career in biomedical sciences.

The ADISRE Summer Bridge program ensures that our scholars develop a connection with the campus and community by implementing a holistic approach involving faculty and professional academic advisors. It involves workshops that nurture integrative learning, effective study skills, depth of understanding through reading strategies, creativity, and innovation through teamwork, as well as service to the community through scientific contributions. Scholars who participate in the Summer Bridge program emerge empowered, having developed critical knowledge, ensuring their academic retention and success.

Close reading of science-based articles from a range of sources (such as PBS NewsHour, NPR, CBS News, and poetry; see Figure 1) encourage setting a purpose for student reading tasks.

In addition, articles from these sources that cultivate a sense of personal and cultural heritage are included in these close reading exercises.

The process helps students concentrate on what is being read, encourages sustained effort to fully understand the text, develops critical reading and thinking skills, and enables learners to become strategic and independent readers. Close reading encourages the development of skills and strategies, such as the ability to interpret words and phrases, analyze structural—visual and textual elements, understand the author’s reasoning and use of evidence, ask text-based questions, promote connections, and integrate ideas and knowledge from the text (Lehman & Roberts, 2013).

ADISRE scholars will greatly benefit from these workshops, as reading is crucial to understanding and having the ability to write clearly for meaningful communication. Too often, reading is neglected and preference is

WHERE DO THE HUMANITIES AND BIOMEDICAL STUDIES INTERSECT?

What Is Medical Humanities and Why? Lit Med Magazine
Biomedical Ethics and Medical Humanities, Stanford Medicine
Defining the Humanities: Medical Humanities, Stanford

Figure 1. Close reading student work samples around two NPR articles
explain that student agency—“the ability of individual students to influence and to create opportunities in the learning context through intentions, decisions, and actions”—merits consideration (Vaughn, 2018, p. 62). How do students develop the characteristics needed to persist and engage in the learning process? Vaughn, Premo, Sotirovska, and Erickson (2020) encourage educators to consider how students interact with a text “to exert influence and open up new learning opportunities” (p. 428).

Close reading requires prompting students to “unpack” the text to promote deeper comprehension. Regular practice with complex texts and a range of text types (including narrative, informational, and poetry) facilitates students’ ability to succeed with college-level text as well as text that they encounter in their everyday lives (see Figure 2). Close reading enables students to become critical readers as they build their knowledge through evidence gathered from narrative, informational, and other text types.

Methods, Technique, and Mode of Inquiry
In this study, learners further developed their close reading skills by working with multiple types of complex texts. Students were invited to participate in multiple close reading demonstrations and writing applications throughout the course of each workshop. For their first reading of the material, students were asked to preview the article in order to figure out what the text said. During the second reading, they were invited to consider how the text worked. This was followed by the “Knew-New-Q” (K-N-Q) activity (Gambrell, 2014), in which students annotated the text. Afterwards, students shared their reflections with a peer and wrote a sentence to solidify their “Knew-New-Q” insights. Additional close reading strategies were introduced and reinforced throughout the workshops. This included a “what do you notice?” chart to record ideas and spark discussion as well as additional annotation strategies that can be utilized while reading. Strategies such as rewriting the text as a series of tweets or text messages, discussion circles, analyzing a text from different viewpoints, and creating student-generated discussion questions to accompany a text were also introduced. Students were invited to employ a range of close reading and critical literacy strategies throughout discussions. These strategies included using Avery colored dots, incorporating the K-N-Q activity, making connections, creating visual outlines, and implementing annotation strategies, among others (see Figures 3 and 4).

Data Sources and Results
For each cohort, data was collected from pre- and post-session surveys on students’ reading memories and concepts of themselves as readers that were distributed at the beginning of each session (see Tables 1 and 2). In addition to results from the surveys, an audit trail was kept to document student learning. This included tracking workshop activities and student applications of the strategies in notebooks. Finally, a post-workshop survey was sent to students at 2-month intervals between workshops to document their progress as close readers with feedback sent to encourage continued growth (see Table 3).
A sample of results from the first two cohorts of student participants are illustrated in Tables 1, 2, and 3. By providing university students who are in the early stages of their degree programs with this curricular experience, these workshops appear to be accomplishing the strategic goals of providing transformative student learning experiences and increasing retention. According to the Association of American Colleges and Universities text *General Education Transformed: How We Can, How We Must* (2015), “Too many students experience general education not as a conspicuously useful and meaningful component of a coherent baccalaureate education, but as a curricular impediment that they must ‘get out of the way’ prior to study in a major . . . [and] they may be unable to visualize a meaningful trajectory in their curriculum, with an attendant loss of motivation and commitment to persist” (p. 5). To combat this, ADISRE connects STEAM with content-area literacy skill development, inspiring creativity and promoting collaboration across the disciplines.
Table 3. Post-Workshop Survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>What specific “close reading” strategies from the workshop have you applied in your own reading?</th>
<th>What kind of reading material have you used to apply the strategy/strategies?</th>
<th>Have you applied any of the note-taking strategies presented from the workshop in your own reading? If so, which ones?</th>
<th>What kind of reading material have you used to apply the strategy/strategies?</th>
<th>Did the close reading strategies workshop make you a more effective and strategic reader/increase your comprehension?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (Freshman male)</td>
<td>Colored dots; text-to-text/text-to-self connections; memorable word choice</td>
<td>Science text</td>
<td>3-2-1 discussion strategy; outline with your own connections; create own discussion questions</td>
<td>Science text</td>
<td>Very helpful and effective. I learned new ways to read and annotate text. It was interesting getting to use the different methods throughout the workshop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (Freshman female)</td>
<td>Author’s perspective; K-N-Q strategy</td>
<td>English literature; history class</td>
<td>Taking notes as tweets; Post-it Note diagram</td>
<td>English literature; history class</td>
<td>It gave me tools and strategies that I wish I had known earlier.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (Freshman female)</td>
<td>Annotation coding strategy; discussion circles in a study group</td>
<td>Science text; news articles for current events</td>
<td>Outline with your own connections; create own discussion questions</td>
<td>Science text; news articles for current events</td>
<td>Showed me how to have a literary roadmap to understanding a text. There are many strategies and finding one that best suits your learning style can help expand your understanding of a work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (Freshman male)</td>
<td>Author’s perspective; K-N-Q strategy</td>
<td>Science text; science lab</td>
<td>Post-it Note diagram; sketches of key points with captions; 3-2-1 discussion strategy</td>
<td>Science text; science lab</td>
<td>I have used many of these methods since I took the workshop. It made studying so much easier by letting me focus on key notes on everything I read. I have also increased my test grades.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Many students in our classrooms are dealing with considerable stress in their lives outside of school. Nearly half of youth in the United States have experienced one or more adverse childhood events, or ACEs, and one in ten youth have experienced three or more ACEs (Sacks & Murphey, 2018). ACEs are “potentially traumatic experiences and events, ranging from abuse and neglect to living with an adult with a mental illness. They can have negative, lasting effects on health and well-being in childhood or later in life” (Sacks & Murphey, 2018, para. 1). Often, we don’t know about the stressful situations our students are dealing with, nor are we equipped to provide the kinds of interventions that counselors or social workers provide. However, as English leaders, we believe that the books we choose to offer in our classrooms can provide powerful support for students, allowing them to see themselves in literature, examine difficult situations through a new lens, and engage in conversations with peers about life’s challenges.

Many English teachers have made progress in choosing books and curriculum materials that more accurately reflect the racial and gender demographics of our schools. We have begun to think more carefully about representation of demographic diversity in our curriculum and have worked to broaden our literature selections. Bishop (1992) has written about the value of books in helping students see themselves and others in literature. When we choose books that act as windows, mirrors, and sliding glass doors for our students (Bishop, 1990), we provide them with opportunities to think about their lives through the lens of a character in a book. This act of providing opportunities for students to see their own realities and others’ realities benefits all students. As Bishop (1990) says, “Children from dominant social groups have always found their mirrors in books, but they, too, have suffered from the lack of availability of books about others. They need books as windows into reality, not just on imaginary worlds” (para. 5). Yet the progress we have made with racial and gender representation hasn’t always extended to books that represent adverse childhood experiences.

Today’s students are struggling with stress and trauma every day. How can curriculum be a force in supporting these learners?

### HOW DO ACES AFFECT THE CLASSROOM?

**Education Brief: ACES for Educators and Stakeholders, The Illinois ACES Response Collaborative**

**Addressing Adverse Childhood Experiences in School, Supporting Mental Health in Schools, ASCD**

**ACES and Resilience: What Can We Do? Public Schools First NC**

And sliding glass doors, it might be a good time to ask ourselves whether we are choosing curriculum that reflects the lived experiences of our students, including difficult or challenging life experiences.

**Books that focus on events or situations that depict ACES**, such as drug use, physical and emotional abuse, suicide, or mental health problems, can feel especially heavy when we discuss them with students because we, as teachers, feel uncertain about how to talk about these difficult topics sensitively and accurately. Adolescents often are drawn to books about these heavy topics “that make many adults uncomfortable” (Ivey & Johnston, 2018, p. 143). These books are engaging for many of our students.
sometimes because their lives have been impacted by these issues and sometimes because they are curious about what it would be like to face such difficult circumstances (Ivey & Johnston, 2018). Allowing students space to think about difficult topics through literature is another way of providing windows, mirrors, and sliding glass doors.

It is understandable that some teachers, at first, may be hesitant to teach these books and provide them in their classrooms. Some teachers express concern about exposing their students to topics like violence, sexual assault, and drug use. For example, Kate Messner’s middle-grade book *The Seventh Wish* explores the experiences of a girl whose college-age sister is addicted to heroin. Soon after the book’s publication, Messner’s long-planned visit to a school was canceled with very short notice. In this case, some of the administrators and librarians felt that the students weren’t equipped to handle the controversial topic of drug abuse (Messner, 2016).

However, Messner wrote the book to address a very real problem in families today and to spark conversations about addiction. Given the current opioid crisis in the United States, it seems likely that this is a situation that has touched the lives of some of our students. Reading a book about a family member’s addiction, written from the point of view of a middle school girl, can provide teachers and students with an opportunity to talk about drugs in an empathetic and constructive way. Students who may feel alone and ashamed of their family’s experiences with drug addiction might find solace in seeing their story represented in a novel, while students who have not experienced addiction might be better prepared to understand peers who have. This was Messner’s hope in writing the book. She says:

> I understand that school administrators are afraid to talk about tough issues sometimes. Authors are, too. But we’re not protecting kids when we keep them from stories that shine a light in the darker corners of their lives. We’re just leaving them alone in the dark. (Messner, 2016, para. 13)

We can’t let our own fear of talking about these stories prevent us from sharing them with students who might need to hear them.

Sometimes the books that tackle difficult topics shed light on situations that are considered taboo or are rarely discussed in other parts of teenagers’ lives. For students who are struggling with these issues, books like these could help them feel less alone. For example, Jay Asher’s *Thirteen Reasons Why* has been challenged in schools and libraries all over the country for its depictions of depression, suicide, and sexual assault. In the book, Hannah leaves an audio diary of the 13 reasons she died by suicide, including the bullying and sexual harassment she experienced in school. The book is a testament to the negative impacts of peer violence. Told from the perspective of a teenage boy who has lost his crush to suicide, *Thirteen Reasons Why* depicts the grief and confusion that he feels and his struggle to understand why and how this could have happened to Hannah. Since its publication, the book has been made into a television series that has caused concern over its graphic depictions of the book’s content. In spite of all the controversy surrounding both the book and the TV series, *Thirteen Reasons Why* remains a popular choice for young adults because “adolescents, like all readers, become engaged with books they find personally relevant” (Ivey & Johnston, 2018, p. 143).

Suicide is the second leading cause of death for adolescents ages 15–19 (Heron, 2019), so it is possible that many of our students are thinking about the impact that suicide has had on their own lives. Like Messner, Asher wrote his book to shed light on a topic that hasn’t been discussed openly. He says that after a teenage relative attempted suicide, he felt he had to write this story so that other teenagers don’t feel as alone as his relative did. He says,
“So it made me feel like, yeah, you’re writing about very sensitive issues, but that’s why we need to talk about it: because it’s hard to” (Hamblin, 2017, para. 6). When we avoid books that deal with difficult situations, we might assume that we are protecting our students from reading about scary topics that they are not yet ready to face. However, we may be missing the fact that some of our students have already experienced situations like these, and a book that provides a mirror for these students might offer them an opportunity to feel seen.

In our conversations with other English teachers about the idea of teaching books with heavy content, we have often turned to the essay “Why We Shouldn’t Shield Children from Darkness” by children’s and young adult book author Matt de la Peña (2018). In it, he describes how during the publishing process for his book Love, he was encouraged not to include an illustration of “a despondent young boy [who] hides beneath a piano with his dog, while his parents argue across the living room” (de la Peña, 2018, para. 9). Some people involved in the publication process believed that this illustration, which included an empty old-fashioned glass sitting on top of the piano, was too heavy for children. De la Peña and the book’s illustrator, Loren Long, fought to keep the illustration.

In the book world, we often talk about the power of racial inclusion—and in this respect we’re beginning to see a real shift in the field—but many other facets of diversity remain in the shadows. For instance, an uncomfortable number of children out there right now are crouched beneath a metaphorical piano. There’s a power to seeing this largely unspoken part of our interior lives represented, too. And for those who’ve yet to experience that kind of sadness, I can’t think of a safer place to explore complex emotions for the first time than inside the pages of a book, while sitting in the lap of a loved one. (de la Peña, 2018, para. 14)

When we have shared this article with teachers, many of them have had visceral responses. They remember times when they had felt that their own challenges from childhood were not represented in books and how comforting it would have been to have a book that showed their real lives. The beauty of de la Peña’s article encourages these teachers to rethink their positions about using heavy books in their classrooms so that they are able to provide that comfort to their students.

Being willing to include heavy books in our curriculum means being willing to employ other good reading practices too. Rather than thinking of these books only as potential whole-class novels, offer books that include depictions of difficult situations as independent choices or book club books. Sharing short daily book talks with your students can pique their interest in the variety of books you offer in your classroom (Miller, 2009). When you book talk a heavy book, think about how you will represent any potentially difficult content in it; your book talk should guide students to use their own discretion in choosing books that are right for them. Students should be allowed and encouraged to abandon books that are not a good fit for them (Kittle, 2013). In thinking about our approaches to including heavy books in our curriculum, consider these guidelines and talk with your team about how to incorporate them in your teaching:

- Be brave in your willingness to allow students to read about situations that are challenging for us as teachers.
- Be willing to trust students’ ability to choose a book that is relevant and valuable, even if it makes us uncomfortable.
- Be ready to talk about these topics when students bring them up in the context of sharing their reading.
- Be sensitive and thoughtful in how you represent the content of books that you include in your curriculum and classroom.
- Be honest with your students about the potential challenges of reading books that depict difficult situations.

As English leaders, it is important to engage in conversations with one another about how to include books that have challenging topics in our classrooms and curriculum. We can support one another as teachers by thinking together about how to address heavy topics in books with our students. We can share approaches that will help us sensitively and thoughtfully support students in reading the books that represent their lives, no matter how challenging or difficult those lives are. When we have conversations about how to approach potentially sensitive content in books with students, we need to recognize our own discomfort that comes with reading those books as well as consider the benefits that can come from teaching them. As teachers, we want to choose books and curriculum that are in the best interests of our students. Just as we have worked to more accurately represent the racial and gender characteristics of our students in the books we choose, so too must we consider how to represent the challenging, difficult, complicated lives of our students in ways that help them see themselves in the books they read.
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**ANNOUNCEMENTS**

**Call for Submissions: 2020 NCTE/Penguin Random House Teacher Awards**

These two awards recognize the nation’s most dynamic and resourceful teachers who use their creativity to inspire and successfully instill a lifelong love of reading and poetry in students. The **Lifelong Readers** and **Maya Angelou Teacher Award for Poetry** each grant $10,000 to the winning educators’ schools. Both are open to full-time and part-time teachers in grades K–12 to self-nominate or nominate another.

Submission information can be found on the NCTE website at https://ncte.org/awards/educator-awards/ncte-prh-teacher-awards/; the deadline is **April 15, 2020**.

**Call for Nominations: 2020 NCTE Leadership Awards**

The **NCTE Advancement of People of Color Leadership Award** (APCL) is a special award given to an NCTE member of color who has made a significant contribution to NCTE and the development of our professional community. The **NCTE Leadership Award for People with Disabilities** recognizes a person with a disability who has made a significant contribution to NCTE and to the development of our professional community.

The **NCTE LGBTQ+ Advocacy & Leadership Award** recognizes a member of the LGBTQ+ community who has made a significant contribution to NCTE and to the development of our professional community.

Submission information can be found on the NCTE leadership awards webpage at https://ncte.org/awards/service-awards/. All award submissions are due **May 15, 2020**.
Confronting Concerns, Navigating Politics: Teaching Young Adult Literature in High School English Departments

Henry “Cody” Miller, SUNY Brockport; joined NCTE in 2018, member of CEL
Kathleen Colantonio-Yurko, SUNY Brockport; joined NCTE in 2019
Shelby Boehm, University of Florida; joined NCTE in 2016

What instructional resources effectively support curriculum that reaches and represents all learners? Young adult literature can be incorporated into any classroom to engage all students in rigorous critical thinking.

**YA LITERATURE AND CRITICAL THINKING**

*Reading for a Better World: Teaching for Social Responsibility with Young Adult Literature, S. Wolk, Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy, May 2009*

*Young Adult Literature in the College Classroom: A Reminder of Why We Love Literature, ALAN*

*Young Adult Literature in Today’s Classroom (entire issue) in ELQ, April 2009*

The benefits of folding young adult (YA) literature into secondary English language arts (ELA) curriculum have been widely documented. YA literature has been argued as a resolution for perennial problems faced by classroom teachers, such as providing quality differentiated instruction (Groenke & Scherff, 2010), supporting the development of foundational reading skills (Gibbons et al., 2006), and acting as a bridge between students’ lived experiences and the school’s curriculum (Flores et al., 2016).

Additionally, YA literature has been seen as a vehicle for helping students become more critical and justice-oriented citizens by supporting students’ understanding of critical theory (Jones, 2015; Latrobe & Drury, 2009; Webb, 2012, 2015), promoting perspective-taking capacities (Thein et al., 2007), addressing issues of social power and identity (Beach et al., 2015; Garcia, 2013; Ginsberg & Glenn, 2019; Linville & Carlson, 2015), and supporting the cultivation of global perspectives (Durand, 2012; Holmes, 2019). In short, YA literature can be seen as satisfying the increasingly steep demands of state education departments while still supporting the aims of a quality, critical ELA curriculum.

Despite the various benefits of incorporating YA literature into secondary ELA curriculum, there remains significant hesitation about using YA literature in the classroom from teachers. Some hesitation comes from incorporating multicultural YA literature. The definition of “multicultural” has expanded in recent years to encompass not just ethnic and racial minorities, but also religious and sexual minorities along with people living outside of the United States and people with disabilities (Temple et al., 2014). Concerns about using multicultural YA literature range from self-identified “pro-LGBTQ+” teachers afraid to use LGBTQ+ YA literature in classroom (Thein et al., 2013) to teachers worried they don’t know enough about other cultures to use multicultural YA literature (Kuo & Alsup, 2010). Other hesitations about the use of YA literature include the belief that YA literature will not align with mandated state standards (Kuo & Alsup, 2010) and a fear that YA literature does not meet “literary merit” for rigorous courses like Advanced Placement (AP) Literature (Miller, 2013; Miller & Slifkin, 2010).

These concerns are very real, and in a time when tenure for practitioners is being eviscerated in state legislatures and standardized testing composes a bulk of a teacher’s annual evaluation (Boldt & Ayers, 2012; Kumashiro, 2008, 2012), these concerns cannot be dismissed. Hartman’s (2016) study of secondary English teachers found that teachers are able to “comply with the mandates set forth” by educational governing bodies while simultaneously resisting “those parts that interfere” with their beliefs as educators (p. 19). In this article, we will address how we implemented YA literature across our school’s department in a manner that attended to the aforementioned concerns. In doing so, we illuminate how teachers can satisfy state standards while implementing effective YA literature instruction.

**Our Positionalities and Contexts**

We all taught at a K–12 public school affiliated with the local college of education. Per the
bylaws of the school, our student demographic reflected the racial, economic, and ability diversity of the state. Our school set limits at 125 students per grade level and each secondary content area was allotted one teacher per grade level. Additionally, the school had detracked their honors courses for middle and high school, resulting in all students enrolling in English I Honors and English II Honors during their ninth and tenth grade years. The state standardized the tests that served as a graduation requirement.


Similar to the research outlined earlier, our zeal for making YA literature a prominent part of the English experience was met with some trepidation from fellow teachers, community members, and administrators. All students were enrolled in honors courses during ninth and tenth grade, but students could opt to take AP English in eleventh and twelfth grade. Questions like, “How does YA literature prepare students for AP?” and “What about the standardized tests?” were frequent. Additionally, teachers worried how to develop the knowledge to teach narratives outside of their own dominant identities. Finally, content material in many YA literature titles was considered controversial by some educators and faced pushback. These concerns are very real within both our own contexts and the broader scholarship. To heed these concerns, we spend the following section outlining how we explicitly addressed them in order to make YA literature an integral part of our secondary ELA department.

**Amending Former AP prompts to Address Concerns about “Rigor”**

When we increased our use of YA literature across ninth and tenth grade levels, a constellation of stakeholders, including parents, administrators, and other English teachers, expressed concern about the rigor and complexity of the texts.

These stakeholders often questioned YA literature potential for preparing students for AP Literature, which was a course offered the senior year of high school. Jennifer Buehler (2016) reminds us that arguments about text complexity are always “ideologically loaded” and too often, calls for text complexity are actually “code for keeping classic literature at the center of the curriculum” (p. 28). This analysis spoke to our own contexts as critiques of YA literature were often conflated with defense of canonical books. However, we used the concern of AP preparation to our benefit. As a result, the team sought avenues to include novels listed on the AP book list in book clubs as well as YA literature. Additionally, we provided options for students to engage with AP-based prompts using YA literature texts. In using AP prompts as our aegis against the criticism that YA literature isn’t “rigorous,” we were working “within the system to meet the mandate, but doing so on our own terms” (Garcia & O’Donnell-Allen, 2015, p. 9). We have a multitude of critiques of AP as an institution. However, we also knew that AP held capital within our school, so we used that capital to advance our call for YA literature curriculum. Previous AP Literature prompts are available for free on the College Board’s website. We found that selecting some prompts and amending the language to meet our students’ needs was an effective way to provide both choice and rigor for our students. AP Literature was not offered until students’ senior year at our school, which could not be dismissed when amending the language of the AP prompts. We do not suggest selecting just any prompt. Instead, we looked for prompts that focused on issues and topics we believed fit our curricular goals. For instance, we typically avoided prompts that were rooted in New Criticism. Instead, we selected prompts that touched on themes of culture, identity, and power (see Figure 1).

We also wanted to ensure that our students could find common themes and ideas across texts and compare how different texts and perspectives represent a similar idea. The Common Core State Standards, and state facsimiles of the standards, require students to write across texts as well. Therefore, our amended prompts could
We used student essays and work samples to justify the “rigor” of YA literature curriculum to the stakeholders who expressed concern.

Critically Reflecting to Address Our Biases and Points of Growth
In discussing curricular violence, Jones (2020) informs us that as teachers we must “do the right thing by our students, even if that means we have to struggle to learn more and seek feedback from students about the impact of our curricular choices,” which includes that we constantly “review and revise our existing lessons to ensure we’re not wreaking havoc on our students’ emotional and intellectual lives” (p. 50). Although teachers are continuously evaluated by administrators, feedback from students is rarely part of performance evaluations unless initiated by the teachers themselves. We believe a key aspect of rethinking curriculum begins with frequent and candid input from students as part of a class culture that values all voices.

Despite many calls to make curriculum relevant to students’ interests and lives (Berhman, 2006; Martinez, 2017; Morrell, 2008; Vasquez, 2000), the idea of relevance is vague and often elusive because of the teacher’s positionality. Giroux (1987) argues that when designing curriculum, “the issue here is not merely one of relevance but one of power,” emphasizing that the narratives we choose to center ultimately convey much about the stories we view as worth studying (p. 177). Additionally, the way we label or present narratives matters. Introducing a story as “controversial” often presents a covert ideology that certain identities are up for debate. We critically reflected on curriculum choices using resources such as Teaching Tolerance’s Reading Diversity tools (2016). We also viewed students as co-collaborators in the creation of curriculum. Because of the established class culture, students frequently shared graphic novels they were excited about or TV shows they were binge watching. These texts became access points for us as teachers to both share commonalities with students while also critically considering how our identities might position these works or similar narratives in the curriculum.

While unknown cultural content did cause occasional hesitations or tensions, we believe positioning ourselves as learners alongside students was advantageous for continuing to cultivate class culture. Additionally, these moments promoted discussion of movements such as #ownvoices (Duyvis, 2015), which seeks to center stories and characters authored by groups that have been marginalized. Like Jones (2020), we believe that curricular choices are never neutral and thus must be considered constantly as possible sites of violence. As literacy practices in the classroom and out of school continue to blur, constant reflection and authentic feedback is necessary for teachers considering curricular and pedagogical choices as spaces to reposition power and thus dismantle textual hierarchies.

Creating Policies to Address Potential Pushback
Key elements of our work as a group of ELA teachers included collaboration. Our collaboration, like most teachers’, was a deep and thoughtful approach to considering how we implement different topics across grade bands and courses. As ninth and tenth grade teachers, we had an opportunity to craft a unique experience for students ensuring that students build on their previous learning and continue to grow and expand their competencies and understandings. A key strength of this collaboration was creating a united front and systematic approach to content and ideas.
An important result of our collaboration included crafting a department-wide policy that addressed and shared the multitude of novels students would read throughout the year. Many of the YA literature titles used in our curriculum address topics such as sexuality, drugs, abuse, and various representations of teenage rebellion. It is unsurprising that some caregivers voiced their contention with our choices to administration. By outlining our beliefs and reasoning for the selection of each text, we not only informed parents of the texts we would be reading, but also made a statement about diversity and inclusion within our classrooms. Once endorsed by administration, our policy became the “go-to” document when caregivers raised concern about “what’s happening in English class.”

A second important result of our work together was fulfilling our desire to include administration and the curriculum coordinator in our work. We discussed what was going on in our courses during English Department meetings. We also shared our curriculum, parent newsletters, and text choices with administration and the curriculum coordinator. This allowed them to be involved in our thinking about what was going on in our courses, and more specifically, how our content and approaches enriched our students’ learning. This involvement was helpful when caregivers wanted to meet to discuss curricular and text choices. As a result, many individuals at the table had a solid understanding of how the courses build upon one another and why we were making certain instructional decisions.

**Conclusion**

YA literature has potential to be transformative in high school English classrooms. Yet, concerns and barriers from multiple sources exist and cannot be ignored. We were able to make YA literature a crucial part of our curriculum in our own experiences as high school English teachers. As a result of this work, our students were able to engage in meaningful class readings that permitted them to read stories that are oftentimes not included in curriculum. Additionally, we were able to ask students what types of books they wanted to read and create multiple opportunities for co-constructed curricula. We continue to hear from former students about how important these choices were for their ELA learning and literacy growth. Yet, we were also able to work within the system that favors AP-focused choices to ensure that students were gaining the skills and practice needed to begin preparing for success in the AP classroom. It is our belief that teachers should work together across grade levels to ensure students have access to texts and experiences that give them the power to read and engage with novels that honor their experiences and interests.

**References**


**Write for Us!**

*English Leadership Quarterly* currently has two open **Calls for Manuscripts for the 2020 August and October issues**. The **April** and **August** editions comprise a two-part issue concentrating on equity in the language arts classroom: The **April** issue focuses on **curriculum** that reaches and represents all learners; in **August**, the emphasis will move to pedagogy that supports equitable practice in the classroom. Finally, the **October** issue looks at the pertinent matter of leading in a time of crisis. Check out the full **calls for manuscripts** and join the conversation!

---

**Pedagogy That Supports Equitable Practice in the Classroom**

**Submission Deadline:** June 10, 2020  
**Publication Date:** August 2020

**Leading in a Time of Crisis**

**Submission Deadline:** July 15, 2020  
**Publication Date:** October 2020

---

**CEL EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

**Chair**
Janice Schwarze  
Downers Grove North HS  
Downers Grove, IL

**Associate Chair**
Christopher Bronke  
Downers Grove North HS  
Downers Grove, IL

**Past Chair**
Heather Rocco  
School District of the Chathams  
Chatham, NJ

**Secretary**
Ann Marie Quinlan  
Nebraska English Language Arts Council  
Lincoln, NE

**Nominations Chair**
Elizabeth Spencer  
Brookfield High School  
Brookfield, CT

**Program Chair**
KAREN REED-NORDWALL  
Wylie E. Groves High School  
Beverly Hills, MI

**State Liaisons Network Coordinator**
Heather Rocco  
Chatham High School  
Chatham Township, NJ

**Members-at-Large**
KATE BAKER  
Manahawkin High School  
Manahawkin, NJ

**Nicholas Emmanuele**  
McDowell Intermediate HS,  
Erie, PA

**Josh Flores**  
Mustang Public Schools  
Mustang, OK

**Emily Meixner**  
The College of New Jersey  
Ewing, NJ

**Karen Reed-Nordwall**  
Wylie E. Groves High School  
Beverly Hills, MI

**Sara Schumacher**  
Glenbard East High School  
Lombard, IL

---

**2020 EDITORIAL BOARD**

**Christopher Bronke**  
Community High School District 99

**Arianna Drossopoulos**  
East Hartford High School

**Jill Geocaris**  
Maine Township District 207

**Jennifer Hernandez**  
VanderCook College and Community High School District 99

**Theodora Koller**  
Community High School District 211

**Britni Mitchell**  
Community High School District 99

**Kathy J. Smith**  
Northern Illinois University and Community High School District 99

**Amy Stoops**  
Community High School District 99

The Conference on English Leadership (CEL) of the National Council of Teachers of English is an organization dedicated to bringing together literacy leaders to further their continuing efforts to study and improve the teaching of literacy skills. CEL reaches out to department chairs, teachers, specialists, supervisors, coordinators, and others who are responsible for shaping effective literacy instruction. CEL strives to respond to the needs and interests germane to effective literacy instruction from kindergarten through college, within the local school, the central administration, the state, and the national level.

It is the policy of NCTE in its journals and other publications to provide a forum for the open discussion of ideas concerning the content and the teaching of English and the language arts. Publicity accorded to any particular point of view does not imply endorsement by the Executive Committee, the Board of Directors, or the membership at large, except in announcements of policy where such endorsement is clearly specified.

*English Leadership Quarterly* (ISSN 1943-3050) is published four times a year in August, October, February, and April for the Conference on English Leadership by the National Council of Teachers of English, 340 N. Neil St., STE 104, Champaign, IL 61820.

Annual membership in NCTE is $50 for individuals, and a subscription to *English Leadership Quarterly* is $25 (membership is a prerequisite for individual subscriptions). Nonmembers and institutions may subscribe for $75. Student and emeritus subscriptions are $12.50 (plus $25 for NCTE membership). Single copy: $18.75 (member price, $6.25). Remittances should be made payable to NCTE by check, money order, bank draft in United States currency, or credit card (call NCTE toll-free at 877-369-6283).

Communications regarding orders, subscriptions, single copies, and change of address should be addressed to *English Leadership Quarterly*, NCTE, 340 N. Neil St., STE 104, Champaign, IL 61820 or sent via email to: orders@ncte.org. Communications regarding permission to reprint should be addressed to Permissions, NCTE, 340 N. Neil St., STE 104, Champaign, IL 61820 or sent via email to permissions@ncte.org.

NCTE’s website: www.ncte.org

NCTE provides equal employment opportunity (EEO) to all staff members and applicants for employment without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, physical, mental or perceived handicap/disability, sexual orientation including gender identity or expression, ancestry, genetic information, marital status, military status, unfavorable discharge from military service, pregnancy, citizenship status, personal appearance, matriculation or political affiliation, or any other protected status under applicable federal, state, and local laws.